

Public Meeting Comment Summary
Southrail Road Relocation, North & South Oakridge Extensions,
Lady Street Sidewalk
April 24, 2008

SOUTHRAIL ROAD RELOCATION SUMMARY

- Yes, I support the half-cent tax for this project and no others offered or presented.
- Yes, seems to be the best exit for the new Colony North subdivision and the large industrial trucks.
- Yes, this would allow access to Ashley Phosphate and keep truck and other traffic out of an already difficult area to exit. Children going to/from school would not risk truck and additional traffic.
- No, too much traffic on Ashley Phosphate Road as it is.
- Yes, we need the ability to make left turns off of Ashley Phosphate Road onto Southrail Road. You should investigate going to the northwest end and crossing the railroad tracks to connect with the Palmetto Commerce Parkway which is built for heavy truck traffic.
- Yes, for the safety of our children and to allow businesses continued safe access to Ashley Phosphate Road.
- No, because our children would be in danger. Wildlife, wilderness and our trees which defines the liveliness of our neighborhoods would be destroyed. Our quality of life would also be destroyed.
- No, business will suffer. It should exit on Spartan at an existing light.
- No, heavy traffic going down that road.
- Yes, because this will not bring traffic thru my neighborhood. I think the cross over should go over the railroad track regardless of the cost.
- Yes, only if it goes across railroad track or a light is installed on Ashley Phosphate Road.
- Yes, left turn egress from Southrail onto Ashley Phosphate is difficult and dangerous. Moving the intersection and installing a traffic signal will tremendously improve safety.
- Yes, if you don't correct the inability to make a left from Ashley Phosphate Road to Southrail Road and improve the ability to make a left from Southrail Road onto Ashley Phosphate Road someone will die at that intersection.
- Yes, this seems to be the safe way to go.
- No, another traffic light on Ashley Phosphate Road.
- Yes, access and safety!
- Yes, we need support to facilitate better traffic movement. Prefer a traffic light.
- Yes, better access for commercial traffic as well as more access for residents.
- No, strongly opposed to the creation of another intersection with red lights so close to the Stall Road intersection and the main rail line.
- Yes, it is very difficult to turn into or out of our industrial park.
- Yes, it will be a lot safer.
- Yes, the Southrail Road to Ashley Phosphate Road intersection in current location by the railroad is a major, major safety hazard. The relocation is essential for the safety of all residents and workers in the area.
- Yes, it would be great to make a safe left hand turn onto Ashley Phosphate Road, it's very dangerous the way this is currently set up.
- Yes, safer entrance to work and exit to home.
- Yes, easier to get on and off of Southrail Road.
- No, this relocation will add a new traffic light at SPA Road. The new SPA Road stoplight will be too close to the Norfolk-Southern railroad crossing (800'). Recommend a bridge over railroad track at southwest end of Southrail.

- No, would require acquisition of a high value office building. Relocation would require purchase of rights-of-way through at least two developed, working commercial properties and one undeveloped commercial property. Relocation would require relocation of a working public certified scale. New traffic signal would impede traffic flow on Ashley Phosphate.

SOUTH OAKRIDGE CIRCLE EXTENSION SUMMARY

- No, this would encourage traffic, commercial and otherwise, to route from the Colony and a business area in Ashley Heights via Tedder & Rock Street.
- No, would destroy some of the small amount of woodlands (wetlands) we have left for our wild animals and birds. This is a quiet, peaceful neighborhood, and we would like to keep it that way.
- No, for the safety of our children.
- No, for the safety of our children.
- No, we do not need additional traffic.
- No, we do not want thru streets thru neighborhoods.
- No, because our children would be in danger. Wildlife, wilderness and our trees which define the liveliness of our neighborhoods would be destroyed. Our quality of life would also be destroyed.
- No, a danger to children, by more traffic.
- No, heavy traffic.
- No, we do not want our neighborhood interconnected for safety.
- No, increase in traffic. Endanger children playing and going to school.
- No, prefer my employees not have the option to use the neighborhood roads. Someone might be injured by a commercial vehicle.
- No, stay out of their neighborhood.
- No, concern about the children.
- No, kids playing on this street.
- Yes, this will provide more access for residents.
- No, Option A & C are unacceptable. Unsafe for children entering and leaving the school and it will bring commercial traffic thru a residential neighborhood.
- No, I strongly oppose this option due to the increase in commercial traffic that will be introduced to the neighborhood.
- No, it will increase traffic on Southrail Road.
- No for "A" because it will put too much traffic on Southrail Road.

NORTH OAKRIDGE CIRCLE EXTENSION SUMMARY

- No, we do not need additional traffic coming through our neighborhood.
- No, for the safety of our children.
- No, for the safety of our children.
- No, Ashley Heights needs more outlets, not more traffic.
- No, we do not want thru streets thru neighborhoods.
- No, a danger to children, by more traffic.
- No, don't need heavy traffic going down North Oakridge Circle.
- No, increase in traffic. Endanger children playing and going to school.
- No, we do not like the option of having our drivers in a neighborhood full of children.
- No, stay out of their neighborhood.
- No, concern about the children.
- No, traffic increase for people trying to short cut through.
- Yes, this will provide more access for residents.
- Option B & D are unacceptable. Unsafe for children entering and leaving the school and it will bring commercial traffic thru a residential neighborhood.

- I strongly oppose both of these options due to the increase in commercial traffic that will be introduced to the neighborhood.
- No, it will increase traffic on Southrail Road.
- No for "B" because it will put too much traffic on Southrail Road.

LADY STREET SIDEWALK SUMMARY

- No, children in our neighborhood seldom (almost never) use existing sidewalks. If sidewalk is installed, Winchester would be better, as it has access to the Stall school yard.
- Yes, it would provide safer walking conditions for pedestrians.
- Yes, but, Lady St. has no access to the school grounds. Winchester St. has access to the school grounds. FOR SAFETY.
- Yes, I support sidewalks on all streets that have heavy road traffic.
- No, it will endanger our children.
- No, no one walks down Lady St.
- Yes, we need a sidewalk on Lady St.
- No, we don't want it!
- Yes, I believe all the streets need to have sidewalks, especially Winchester St., there are many children that walk to school on that street.
- No, previous sidewalk on Pinehurst St.
- Yes, pedestrians deserve a sidewalk and not be forced to walk in the street.
- No, because N. Oakridge Circle and Winchester need the sidewalk, not Lady St.
- No, there isn't enough pedestrian traffic on Lady St. to warrant the need for a sidewalk. There are no sidewalks on North or South Oakridge Circle to connect to.
- Yes, I think in addition to Lady St., every residential street in Charleston County should have sidewalks.
- Yes, I contend that ALL residential streets should have sidewalks INCLUDING Northside Drive.